Usefulness of the Measurement of Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide in Asthmatic Patients: Correlation with Pulmonary Function, Asthma Control and Health Status
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Background and objective: This study was conducted to investigate whether or not FeNO correlates with lung function tests, the Asthma Control Test (ACT) for assessing asthma control or the Asthma Health Questionnaire (AHQ-33) for evaluating the health status in asthmatics.

Methods: FeNO was compared with the results of spirometry, ACT and AHQ-33 in 57 non-smoking patients with asthma and 17 healthy individuals without pulmonary diseases who had never smoked. Sixteen of 57 asthmatics treated with inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) underwent step-down therapy if they showed good/total control or step-up therapy if they showed poor control, and were evaluated by spirometry, ACT and AHQ-33 prior to and more than one month after starting step-down or step-up therapy.

Results: FeNO in asthmatics was significantly higher than that in the control group. There were no significant correlations between FeNO and FEV₁, ACT score or AHQ-33 scores. However, there were significant correlations between the changes in FeNO and changes in FEV₁, ACT score or AHQ-33 scores following step-down or step-up therapy.

Conclusion: FeNO is a useful marker not only for the diagnosis of asthma but also for asthma control and determining the health status in an individual patient, although it is variable without any correlation with symptoms and lung function among asthmatics. Shinshu Med J 59: 239–247, 2011
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I Introduction

Endogenous nitric oxide (NO) is an important signaling molecule and may play a role in the regulation of airway function. NO gas is produced by various cells within the lower respiratory tract, including inflammatory and epithelial cells, and is detectable in the exhaled air of normal human subjects. Measurement of the fractional exhaled NO (FeNO) may be clinically useful for the detection and management of cytokine-mediated inflammatory lung disorders. The concentration of NO is increased in the exhaled air of asthmatics. There is immunocytochemical evidence for inducible NO synthase (iNOS) expression in the airway epithelium of asthmatics, suggesting that the increase in NO may be due to induction of iNOS by cytokines and other inflammatory mediators released in asthmatic airways. FeNO levels decrease following the treatment with the improvement of symptoms and airway obstruction in patients with acute asthma. Therefore, the mea-
measurement of concentration of FeNO has been suggested to be a simple and noninvasive way of monitoring inflammation of the respiratory tract with a potential role in the diagnosis of disease\(^{59}\).

However, FeNO is affected by the treatment for asthma, especially inhaled corticosteroid (ICS) and the type of airway inflammation, eosinophilic or neutrophilic airway inflammation. Some investigators doubt the usefulness of FeNO as a marker of asthma control. This study cross-sectionally examined whether FeNO was correlated with asthma control or airflow limitation among asthma patients who had received daily treatments for asthma including ICS and further examined the relationship between the changes in FeNO and asthma symptoms or airflow limitation in individual asthma patient when the treatment underwent either a step-down or step-up longitudinally.

II Methods

A Subjects

Fifty-seven non-smoking asthmatics were recruited from the outpatient clinics of Shinshu University Hospital from April 2009 to September 2009. Asthma was diagnosed based on the clinical history and symptoms including reversible airflow limitation in accordance with the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines\(^{60}\). Thirteen of the 57 asthmatic patients had never received any steroid therapy, 38 patients were treated with ICS of 400 µg/day fluticasone propionate, and 6 patients with maintenance 2.5–20 mg/day oral prednisolone (7.9 ± 2.6 mg/day) added to ICS therapy. In addition, 40 patients were treated with leukotriene receptor antagonist (LTRA), 13 with long-acting β\(_2\)-agonists (LABA), and 22 with oral theophylline.

Seventeen non-smoking controls took part in the study. None of them had histories of respiratory or cardiovascular diseases or received any long-term medications.

This study was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of Shinshu University School of Medicine.

B Study design

FeNO was evaluated in comparison to the results of the Asthma Control Test (ACT) for assessing asthma control\(^{61,62}\) and the Asthma Health Questionnaire (AHQ-33), which is a health-related quality of life questionnaire for asthmatics\(^{63,64}\). All subjects completed a questionnaire regarding their prior respiratory diagnosis, smoking history and current medication use, the ACT and the AHQ-33, and then underwent FeNO measurement and spirometry. These evaluations were done between 9 am and 11 am.

Thirteen of 38 asthmatics on ICS therapy whose asthma control varied from good to total control with an ACT score of 21–25 underwent step-down of their therapy, reducing either LTRA, LABA or theophylline, and three asthmatics whose asthma control was poor with an ACT score below 20 underwent step-up of their therapy. Spirometry, the measurement of FeNO, the evaluation of ACT and AHQ-33 questionnaires were examined before and 1 to 6 months after either the step-down or step-up.

C Pulmonary function tests

Spirometry was performed using a pulmonary function testing system (Chestac-55V; Chest Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The pulmonary function tests were performed by two special technicians according to the ATS criterion. Two or three tests were repeated to guarantee repeatability.

D Fractional exhaled Nitric Oxide measurement

FeNO measurement was performed with a previously described technique\(^{65,66}\). FeNO levels were evaluated using a personal device (NIOX MINO; Aerocrine AB; Smidesvägen, Sweden) for measuring FeNO levels with identical mouth flow rate and pressure settings in each subject. The sensor on the device was changed periodically, in line with the manufacturer’s guidance. The working of the device is based on electrochemical analysis, which is in line with published procedures for FeNO measurement. The method has been validated by chemiluminescence technology, with an accuracy of ±5 parts per billion (ppb) in comparison to the personal device (NIOX MINO; Aerocrine AB; Smidesvägen, Sweden). Data from the analyzer vs. the portable
device (NIOX; Aerocrine AB; Smidesvågen, Sweden) showed a mean disagreement of 0.5 ppb (SD, 3.8 ppb), with high linearity and accuracy in comparison to reference gases. Two successive recordings were made at 2-min intervals, and the average of two readings was recorded. All measurements were made by a single observer who had no knowledge of the treatment of individual patients.

E Asthma Control Test (ACT) survey

The ACT survey is a patient-completed questionnaire with 5 items assessing asthma symptoms (daytime and nocturnal), use of rescue medications, and the effect of asthma on daily functioning. Each item includes 5 response options corresponding to a 5-point Likert-type rating scale. In scoring the ACT score, responses for each of the 5 items are summed to yield a score ranging from 5 (poor control of asthma) to 25 (complete control of asthma). The details of the development of the ACT have been reported elsewhere.

F Asthma Health Questionnaire - 33 - Japan (AHQ-33)

The AHQ-33, which is a disease-specific health-related quality of life questionnaire that is widely used in Japan, was employed to assess health status. AHQ-33 was developed based on international questionnaires such as the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) by translating from the English language, while taking into account both Japanese customs and culture. A higher score on the AHQ-33 reflects poorer health status with respect to these 33 items. Muraki et al. showed that the AHQ-33 was more sensitive for severity than the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36-Item Health Survey (SF-36) which was widely used as a generic health-related quality of life questionnaire, and that a logistic regression analysis revealed that asthmatic symptoms, factors which worsened symptoms, emotion, daily activity, and social activity subscale scores, as well as a high total 32 item score, on the AHQ-33 were associated with an increased risk of moderate to severe asthma. The details of the development of the AHQ-33 have been reported elsewhere.

G Statistical Analysis

The values shown in the text and tables are the means ± SEM. The variables in the two groups were compared with the use of nonparametric Mann–Whitney’s U tests. Simple correlations between variables were examined by calculating Pearson’s product correlation coefficient. All statistical analyses were performed with the use of a Windows-compatible software package (Stat Flex ver. 5.0, Artech Ltd., Osaka, Japan). A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be significant in all statistical analyses.

III Results

FeNO was significantly higher in asthmatics than in control subjects (Table 1). There was no significant correlation between FeNO and percent predicted FEV₁ in asthmatics (Fig. 1). There was no significant correlation between FeNO and FEV₁/FVC (r = -0.14) and no significant difference in FeNO between asthmatics with and without airway obstruction (62.7±8.3 vs. 46.6±6.0 ppb). There was no significant correlation between FeNO and ACT score or AHQ-33 total score (Fig. 2) as well as subscale scores of AHQ-33. On the other hand, there was a significant correlation between ACT score and AHQ-33 total score (r = -0.67, p < 0.01).

The asthmatics were classified into the three subgroups in accordance with the treatment with no

Table 1 Comparison of the clinical characteristics, pulmonary function tests and the fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) of control subjects and asthmatics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Asthma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients, n</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yrs old</td>
<td>53.1±4.1</td>
<td>54.4±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, female/male, n/n</td>
<td>4/13</td>
<td>26/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%VC, %</td>
<td>110.8±5.4</td>
<td>95.4±4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%FEV₁, %</td>
<td>108.4±4.7</td>
<td>79.6±4.3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC, %</td>
<td>82.2±1.6</td>
<td>70.0±2.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNO, ppb</td>
<td>23.7±2.4</td>
<td>53.9±6.9**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are the mean±SEM. **p<0.01 vs. Control
corticosteroids (steroid-naïve group), ICS (ICS group), and oral corticosteroid + ICS (OS group). FeNO was significantly higher in steroid-naïve asthmatics (83.7±15.9 ppb) and asthmatics treated with ICS (43.4±7.3 ppb) than in control subjects (23.7±2.4 ppb), and the FeNO in steroid-naïve asthmatics was significantly higher than that in asthmatics in the ICS group (Fig. 3). The FeNO in asthmatics treated with oral corticosteroid (55.9±25.5 ppb) tended to be lower than in steroid-naïve asthmatics and higher than in control subjects, but there were no significant differences among these groups. There were no significant correlations between FeNO and the percent predicted FEV₁ (r=−0.33), ACT score (r=0.12) or AHQ-33 total score (r=0.28) as well as the subscale scores of AHQ-33 in steroid-naïve asthmatics.

Changes in FeNO were compared with changes in pulmonary function tests, ACT and AHQ-33 scores of 16 asthmatics who underwent step-down or step-up of their therapy (Table 2). The concentrations of FeNO were increased in 10 of the 13 asthmatics that
underwent step-down, and all three asthmatics that underwent step-up showed decreases in their FeNO. There was a significant correlation between the changes in FeNO and the changes in FEV₁ (r = -0.66, p < 0.01), ACT score (r = -0.56) or AHQ-33 total scores (r = 0.77, p < 0.01) as well as the 4 subscale scores (Fig. 4).

### Discussion

FeNO was significantly higher in asthmatics. There were no significant correlations between FeNO and the percent predicted FEV₁, ACT score for assessing asthma control or any of the AHQ-33 subscale scores for evaluating health status. There was no significant difference in FeNO between asthmatics with and without airway obstruction. FeNO was significantly higher in steroid-naïve asthmatics than in asthmatics on ICS therapy. There were no significant correlations even between FeNO and percent predicted FEV₁, ACT score or any of the AHQ-33 subscale scores in steroid-naïve asthmatics. However, there were significant correlations between changes in FeNO and changes in FEV₁, ACT score or AHQ-33 total scores as well as 4 subscale scores in asthmatics who underwent step-down or step-up of their therapy without any changes of the ICS dose.

FeNO increases in association with eosinophilic airway inflammation measured in BAL, airway tissue and induced sputum in asthmatics and decreases following treatment with ICS⁴⁰. FeNO is a reliable surrogate marker of eosinophilic airway inflammation⁴¹⁴¹⁷. Daily monitoring of FeNO by the portable device (NIOX MINO; Aerocrine AB; Smidesvågen, Sweden) is a feasible method to monitor airway inflammation in asthmatics¹⁸¹¹⁰. However, FeNO is directly affected by the administration of ICS even at lower doses and shows lower levels in symptomatic asthmatics treated with ICS than in steroid-naïve asthmatics since corticosteroids inhibit iNOS in the airway¹⁸³¹⁰. A cross-sectional observation in this study showed there was no significant correlation between FeNO and percent predicted FEV₁, ACT score or any of the AHQ-33
Table 2 Changes in FeNO and FEV₁ in thirteen asthmatics (No. 1-13) that underwent step-down and three asthmatics (No. 14-16) that underwent step-up among 38 asthmatics on ICS therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Step-down or step-up Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Observation period (days)</th>
<th>ΔFeNO (ppb)</th>
<th>ΔFEV₁ (ml)</th>
<th>ΔACT (scores)</th>
<th>ΔAHQ-33 (scores)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ICS+LABA+LT + Th</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + Th</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>+37.5</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>ICS+LABA</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>+22.0</td>
<td>+60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ICS+LABA+LT + Th</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>+20.5</td>
<td>+280</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ICS+LABA+LT + Th</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + Th</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>+17.5</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ICS+LABA+LT + Th</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + Th</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>+19.5</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>+0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ICS+LABA+LT + Th</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + Th</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>+21.5</td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>+57.5</td>
<td>-380</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>+0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>+50</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+5.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>+8.0</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ICS+LABA+LT + Th</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>+150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ICS+LABA+LT + Th</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>+78.0</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>ICS+LABA</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-35.5</td>
<td>+440</td>
<td>+18</td>
<td>-1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>ICS+LT</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>-47.5</td>
<td>+30</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ICS</td>
<td>ICS+LABA + LT</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>-210.0</td>
<td>+470</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean

± SEM

113.9 ± 10.4

11.9 ± 15.9 ± 53.3 ± 1.4 ± 0.15

ICS; inhaled corticosteroid (fluticasone propionate 400 ug/day), LABA; long-acting β₂-agonist (salmeterol xinafoate 100 ug/day), LTRA: leukotriene receptor antagonist (montelukast sodium 10 mg/day or pranlukast hydrate 450 mg/day), Th; theophylline (400 mg/day)

ΔFeNO, ΔFEV₁, ΔACT and ΔAHQ-33; changes in FeNO, FEV₁, ACT and AHQ-33 between the days before and after step-down or step-up

subscale scores in all asthmatics and even in steroid-naïve asthmatic patients, respectively, suggesting that FeNO is variable without any correlation with symptoms and lung function among asthmatics.

There is the possibility that subject-related factors influenced these results. FeNO may be influenced by several subject-related factors other than eosinophilic inflammation such as age, gender and medications including oral/inhaled corticosteroids, beta-agonists and LTRA[17]. FeNO may not accurately reflect airway inflammation in ICS-treated patients[23][24]. The other reason may be due to heterogeneity of airway inflammation in asthmatics. Eosinophilic airway inflammation is characteristic in asthma. However, some persistent asthmatics also demonstrate neutrophilic airway inflammation without eosinophilic airway inflammation[23], and some patients show increases in not only eosinophilic airway inflammation but also neutrophilic airway inflammation during exacerbation of asthma[24]. According to previous cross-sectional studies, FeNO is correlated with percent predicted FEV₁ in asthmatics[23]. On the other hand, Stirling et al. reported that FeNO was not correlated with percent predicted FEV₁[25]. Most of the patients in this study had a long history of asthma (more than 5 years), and some patients showed fixed airflow obstruction. The airway remodeling may have affected the results in this study.
Usefulness of the measurement of FeNO in asthmatic patients

The novel finding in this study is that there was a significant correlation between changes in FeNO and changes in percent predicted FEV₁, ACT score or AHQ-33 total scores as well as 4 subscale scores in asthmatics who received step-down or step-up therapy with LABA, LTRA or theophylline without any changes of ICS dose. This finding suggests that FeNO is a useful marker for asthma control and determining health status during the course of treatment. In the previous studies, Jatakanon et al. reported that monitoring FeNO and sputum eosinophils may be useful in the assessment of airway inflammatory changes following ICS therapy in asthmatics²⁹. Massaro et al. reported that FeNO levels were decreased accompanying improvement in airway obstruction in patients with acute asthma during their course of treatment with corticosteroids⁶, suggesting that FeNO may prove useful as an index of asthma severity and treatment efficacy for an individual patient. The current study found that

Fig. 4 Correlation between the changes in the fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) and changes in FEV₁, changes in the Asthma Control Test (ACT) score or changes in the Asthma Health Questionnaire (AHQ-33) in thirteen asthmatics that underwent step-down and three asthmatics that underwent step-up among 38 asthmatics on ICS therapy (n = 16)
FeNO was increased in parallel with the exacerbated symptoms and deterioration of pulmonary function following step-down by reducing either LABA, LTRA or theophylline while continuing ICS. These findings may suggest that FeNO is useful marker for asthma control under treatment with ICS.

In conclusion, FeNO is considered to be a useful marker not only for the diagnosis of asthma, but also for asthma control and determining the health status in individual patients, although it is variable without any correlation with the symptoms and lung function among asthmatics.
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